

**223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9914-2020

Date of Decision: 6th September, 2022

Balraj Mittal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Karnail Singh, Advocate for respondents No. 7 and 8.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition was filed to directions to the official respondents to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of the private respondents.

The matter was sent to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

As per the report of the Mediator dated 11th January, 2022, there are no chances of amicable settlement.

Learned State counsel relied upon para 6 of the reply dated 23rd January, 2021, wherein it is submitted that allegations made by the petitioners were vague. There was no threat perception. Except the statement, there was nothing to show that the police officials had harassed the petitioners.

In view of the reply filed, no further directions are called for.

The petition is dismissed.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

6th September, 2022

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No