

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-5032 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.08.2022

Suraj and others

..Petitioners

Versus

Hari Ram (since deceased) through his LRs and another

..Respondents

CR-7197 of 2019 (O&M)

Suraj and others

..Petitioners

Versus

Hari Ram (since deceased) through his LRs and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent no.1(i) and (ii).

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

These two revision petitions being identical are disposed of by a consolidated order.

Two application filed by the plaintiffs in two separate suits for permission to amend the plaint have been dismissed.

It has been noticed that the suits were filed in the year 2014, whereas the issues were framed in September, 2015. The plaintiffs closed their evidence on 27.10.2017, whereas defendant no.1 closed his evidence in February, 2018.

The applications for amendment were filed after the trial of the

suits was at the fag end. Moreover, the plaintiffs are making an attempt to elaborate the facts which have already been pleaded. Order 6 Rule 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, requires that in the pleadings, the facts are required to be in a precise and concise form and the evidence is not required to be stated.

In view thereof, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

August 05, 2022

nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>