

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.105

**Civil Writ Petition No.26000 of 2018
Date of Decision : March 11, 2022**

Gurpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Pardeep Sehrawat, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel representing respondent No.6 reiterates that demarcation has already been done and thus, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel appearing for counsel for the petitioner submits that the case may be adjourned as arguing counsel is out of station.

The request is not justified and is thus rejected.

Writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same, in case, cause of action survives.

March 11, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No