

SUNIL GILL @ MANNA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURIPresent: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No. 30 dated 19.03.2019, under Sections 354-A/34 IPC, registered at Police Station E Division, District Amritsar on the basis of compromise.

In terms of order dated 22.08.2019, the parties were directed to get the statements recorded before the learned trial Court. On 28.02.2020, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought more time to get the statements recorded and accordingly, another opportunity was granted to get the statements recorded. Thereafter, on 17.12.2021, another request was made for affording one more opportunity to get the statements recorded. As such, the parties were granted another opportunity to get the statements recorded.

As per report received from the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, in terms of order dated 17.12.2021, inspite of the directions given by this Court, the parties had failed to appear and to get the statements recorded and as such, it cannot be said as to whether the compromise effected between the parties is genuine or not.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner has-sought to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

31.03.2022
renubala(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE