

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**241**

**CRM-M-46715-2021**  
**Date of Decision: 05.04.2022**

Tarsem Singh ..... Petitioner  
*Versus*  
State of Punjab and others ..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present:- Mr. Ranjeet K. Jaswal, Advocate for  
Ms. Amarjit Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.  
  
Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)**

Learned State counsel has referred to Annexure R-2/T, i.e. the statement of the petitioner, which is a part of the reply stating therein that there is no threat perception.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petition has been rendered infructuous.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of having been infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court and file a fresh petition in case any threat perception arises in future. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)**  
**JUDGE**

**05.04.2022**  
*Jyoti-II*

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether Reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |