

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(213)

CRM-M-39881-2020
Date of decision:- 14.03.2022

Veerpal Singh @ Vicky

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Gurpal Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh Walia, AAG, Punjab.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

This is the fourth petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking grant of regular bail pending trial in FIR No.63 dated 14.04.2019, registered for offences under Sections 22 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at Police Station Sadar Patti, District Tarn Taran, Annexure P-1.

FIR has been registered as 1440 tablets, containing salt Alprazolam, were recovered from the petitioner. The contraband recovered from the petitioner falls within the ambit of commercial quantity.

Custody Certificate dated 11.03.2022 has been filed on behalf of respondent-State, which is taken on record.

Pursuant to order dated 23.11.2021 passed by this Court, an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Patti, District Tarn Taran has been filed on behalf of respondent-State, which is taken on record, wherein it has been submitted as under:-

“5. That it is further submitted that the delay for non-examining prosecution evidences in the present case is not intentionally and deliberately at the part of investigating agency, but due to reason explained above and the prosecution is (sic) undertakes to conclude the entire remaining prosecution witnesses before the Ld. Trial Court on the next date of hearing or any other date of hearing as convenient to the Ld. Trial Court.”

Upon instructions received from SI, Gurtej Singh, State Counsel has informed the Court that the next date before the Trial Court is 28.03.2022.

Considering the affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Patti, District Tarn Taran, this Court is of the view that no order is required to be passed in the instant petition as the prosecution evidence is likely to be concluded soon.

Petition is disposed of.

However, in case there is any delay in the conclusion of the prosecution evidence, liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach this Court afresh.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

14.03.2022
Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No