

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19950-2022

Date of Decision: 05.09.2022

Sarvjeet Kaur

....Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rupinder Khosla, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Harinder Deep Singh Bains, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

It is submitted that land of village Puna, Hadbast No. 244, Tehsil Nangal, District Rupnagar was converted into a Focal Point many years back. Yet, a revenue *rasta* shown in the *jamabandi* has been in existence all along. The same is being utilized by the residents of the village. Now, work is going on to block the same and this will result in a lot of inconvenience to the villagers as well as violation of the easementary rights. Another alternative passage located about 60 mts. North is also being blocked by respondent No. 4. Thus, a direction may be issued to the respondents to treat the writ petition as a representation and decide the same by passing a speaking order.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf respondents No. 1 and 3 and waives service. At the asking of the Court, he accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 4 as well and waives service

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to file reply.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No. 3 and 4 to consider the writ petition as a representation and decide the same by passing a speaking order within four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

05.09.2022
reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No