

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 8443 of 2022
Date of Decision: 27.10.2022

Saroop Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. M.S. Dhillon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arjun Sheoran, DAG, Punjab.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

The grouse of the petitioner in a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to the respondents for grant of parole of 8 weeks to spend time with his family members and the objection is raised to the adverse report dated 01.08.2022 which has been sent by the District Magistrate, Kaithal.

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kaithal has been filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4 whereby it has been mentioned that the adverse report by the District Magistrate, Kaithal has been sent to the Additional Director General of Police (Prisons), Punjab on 01.08.2022. The same is on the basis of fact that the petitioner had surrendered late after he had been granted parole due to Covid-19 pandemic, due to which he was prosecuted also.

It is not disputed that it is for the competent authority of State of Punjab to decide the case of parole on the basis of the report which has been

In such circumstances, we dispose of the present petition with directions to respondent No.2/competent authority to decide the parole case of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of 2 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

27.10.2022
shivani

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No