

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

240

CRM-M-39927-2022

Date of Decision: 19.12.2022

Vikram Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

On 05.09.2022, the following order was passed :-

“The petitioner herein seeks the relief of anticipatory bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.155 dated 13.04.2022 registered at Police Station Siwani, District Bhiwani, under Section 61, 4, 2020 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 (Haryana Amendment Bill, 2020)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter-alia, contends that the petitioner has been roped in as an accused in this case solely on the basis of the disclosure statement, as allegedly suffered by his co-accused named Sombir who was apprehended and was allegedly found to be in possession of 36 bottles of country made liquor and thus, the recovery has already been effected in the present case and moreover, the petitioner is ready to join in the investigation as and when so required.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ambika Sood, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who has appeared in this case in pursuance of the copy of the instant

petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, accepts the notice and she seeks some time to get proper and complete instructions in the present matter from the quarter concerned and if deemed necessary, then to file the status-report also.

Adjourned to 19.12.2022.

Meanwhile, in the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing the requisite personal as well as surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer.

However, the petitioner shall join in the investigation as and when required/called upon to do so and shall also strictly abide by all the conditions as laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI prem Singh submits that petitioner has joined investigation and no custodial interrogation is required.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 05.09.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to threat/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted by this order.

19.12.2022
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No