

**215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-40015-2022
DECIDED ON: 8th SEPTEMBER, 2022**

RAVINDER SINGH TOMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Vinod Kumar Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 144, dated 17.08.2020, under Sections 307 IPC and Section 25 (1-B) (a) of Arms Act, 1957 (offences under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and Section 27 (1) of Arms Act added later on) registered at Police Station Udyog Vihar, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case at the instance of complainant. He further submits that challan stands presented and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 17.08.2020.

Custody certificate of the petitioner filed by the Counsel for the State is taken on record.

Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer made in the present petition stating that a specific role has been attributed to the petitioner. As per allegation, the petitioner fired a shot from his gun with an intention to kill complainant. Accordingly, he prays for dismissal of present petition.

Keeping in view the allegation and seriousness of offence coupled with the fact there is specific attribution of causing injury to the complainant with fire shot against the petitioner, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

Accordingly the present petition stands dismissed.

8th SEPTEMBER, 2022
sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>