

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA-1154-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.03.2022**

(Proceedings through V.C.)

Swaranpal Singh and others

.....Appellants

Versus

Financial Commissioner (Revenue), Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. R.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellants very fairly states that the share which has been granted to Jai Kaur widow of Buland Singh i.e. 1/4th share, Barjinder Singh and Charan Inder Singh sons of Shamsher Singh 1/4th share, as per the mutation dated 24.12.2012 (Anneuxre P-12), which has been approved by the Assistant Collector First Grade vide order dated 24.12.2012 (Annexure P-12), is not being disputed. He submits that the name of the appellants have not been included in the beneficiaries *qua* the succession of Mirza Singh in the mutation and therefore, the grievance of the appellants is *qua* the successors-in-interest of Mirza Singh alone. He, therefore, contends that the appellants would agitate their claim *qua* the successors-in-interest of Mirza Singh, who have been wrongly granted their respective shares ignoring the share of the appellants as per the decree.

In the light of the above, we do not find any ground to interfere with the order passed by learned Single Judge, however, we grant liberty to the appellants to pursue their claim *qua* the legal heirs of their predecessor-in-interest Mirza Singh relating to the other successors of Mirza Singh, only.

The present appeal stands disposed of in the above terms.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

March 16th, 2022
ps-I/poonam negi

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No