

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(119)

CRWP-8471-2022

Date of decision: - 05.09.2022

Savita and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 21 of the Constitution of India for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner No.1 was born on 10.09.2000 and petitioner No.2 was born on 10.02.1998, and have married each other on 18.08.2022, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has been placed upon Aadhaar Cards (Annexures P-1 and P-2) and the marriage certificate (Annexure P-3). It is also stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that a detailed representation dated 26.08.2022 (Annexure P-4) has also been given to the Superintendent of Police, Mohali, SAS, Nagar, with regard to the same.

On a specific query put by this Court, learned State has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Mohali, SAS, Nagar, looks into the representation dated 26.08.2022 (Annexure P-4) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the abovesaid facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 26.08.2022 (Annexure P-4) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent No.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any other case.

September 05, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No