

[122] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.2320 of 2021

Date of Decision : 05.04.2022

Jyoti and another ...Petitioners

Versus

Ganja Ram Punia, IPS, Superintendent of Police,
Karnal and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.K. Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned State Counsel by referring to paragraph Nos.5 & 6 of the reply contends that the grievance of the petitioners with regard to danger to the life and liberty at the hands of private respondents has not been found to be correct and that in view of no threat having been found to have been made to the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents, the instant petition be disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[3] Notice has yet not been issued in the instant case. However, learned DAG, Haryana, has filed reply by way of affidavit of *Shri Ganga Ram Punia, IPS, Superintendent of Police, District Karnal* on behalf of respondent No.1.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of the reply, he does not press the instant petition.

[5] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as

Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No