

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 8458 of 2022

Date of Decision: 2nd September, 2022

Anand and another

Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory of Chandigarh and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Kabir Vadhera, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Viranjeet Singh Mahal, Addl. PP for UT, Chandigarh.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition), who have solemnised marriage against the wishes of their parents.

Learned counsel for the State appearing on advance notice submits that on receiving the representation, efforts were made to contact the petitioners, their phones were switched off. He further submits that they were neither physically available nor approached the police authorities.

In view of the statement of counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition and seeks liberty that the petitioners will approach the police authorities.

Dismissed as not pressed.

There is no doubt that in eventuality of petitioners approaching the police authorities, the needful in accordance with law would be done expeditiously.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

2nd September, 2022

mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No