

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**120**

**CRM-M-40174 of 2022  
Date of Decision: 05.09.2022**

Mohit

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed seeking directions to the respondents No. 2 to 4 to conduct fair and proper investigation in view of the facts mentioned in the petition in case bearing FIR No.266 dated 17.08.2022, under Sections 307, 452, 506, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station HSIDC Bhari, District Sonapat.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in the present matter the investigation has not been conducted in a fair and impartial manner and the investigating agency is shielding the private respondent No. 5. He submitted that he has given a representation to the DSP, Gannur vide Annexure P-5 in this regard.

On the other hand, Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana has stated that the representation given by the petitioner was received in the office of the DSP on 29.08.2022 which was sent to the SHO, Police Station HSIDC Bhari for further investigation in the matter on

31.08.2022. He submitted that after receipt of the complaint made by the petitioner vide Annexure P-5 the police came into action and thereafter, the police officer even went to the village for the purpose of further investigating the case pertaining to respondent No.5. He submitted that the investigation of the case is still in progress and only five days have gone by and the complaint given by the petitioner is being further processed and therefore, the present petition is devoid of any merit.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The grievance of the petitioner was that the representation Annexure P-5 was not being processed by the police and rather the police was protecting the respondent No. 5.

As per the learned State counsel, after receipt of the complaint the police is now further enquiring on the basis of the representation given by the petitioner and the matter is still under investigation.

In view of the aforesaid position, the present petition is premature. Therefore, the present petition is dismissed as being pre-mature.

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**  
**JUDGE**

**September 05, 2022**  
dinesh

|                    |   |        |
|--------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking   | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable | : | Yes/No |