

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43462-2021

Date of decision-23.02.2022

Gurpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0222 dated 16.09.2021 under Section 336 Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar, who apprehends his arrest at the hands of Police.

On 14.10.2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.222 dated 16.09.2021, registered under Section 336 of IPC, 1860, and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar, Annexure P-1.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR is a result of a dispute between the petitioner-father and his daughter, who is the complainant, and the allegations levelled therein are totally false. He submits that actual story is that petitioner's daughter and son-in-law are insisting that the petitioner should transfer his property in their favour.

Notice of motion.

*On asking of the Court, Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab, who is present through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.
List on 23.02.2022.
Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would appear as and when called for by the Investigating Officer and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in compliance of the above order, the petitioner associated himself in the investigation and cooperated during interrogation.

Learned State counsel who is instructed by ASI Bikramjit Singh states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 14.10.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

23.02.2022
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No