

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

209

**CWP-7658-2014 (O & M)
Date of Decision: May 05, 2022**

MANOJ KUMAR & ORS.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

**DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI
VITRAN NIGAM LTD.& ORS.**

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Daljit Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pardeep Singh Punia, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate for respondents No.6 to 9.

Mr. Mohinder Pal, Advocate for respondent No.10.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioners have challenged the action of the respondents in reliving them and appointing other similarly situated persons. The petitioners are stated to have been working as Assistant Linemen and Shift Attendants.

Learned counsel for the respondents while referring to the reply filed by the respondents in CM-6479-2015 submits that the petitioners had been re-engaged as Shift Attendants/Assistant Linemen.

In view of the above, the grievance of the petitioners appears to have been substantially redressed.

The petition stands disposed of as infructuous at this stage.

If there is any subsisting grievance of the petitioners, they may send a representation to the respondents.

In the event of such representation being made, the same shall be considered by the respondents and a speaking order be passed within a period of 03 months from its receipt.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

May 05, 2022

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No