

**237 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8380-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13th September, 2022

Shaharukh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Khalid Tauru, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This writ petition is filed seeking directions to official respondents to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition) who are stated to be living in live-in-relationship.

2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

3. On 1st September, 2022 following order was passed:-

“Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana, appearing on advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 13.9.2022.

In the meantime, the police officials not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

4. Learned State counsel has produced the statements of the petitioners recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., wherein it is stated that there is no threat perception.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statements given by the petitioners after filing of this petition, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.
6. The petition is dismissed as infructuous
7. Since the main petition is dismissed as infructuous, pending applications, if any, are rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

13th September, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No