

**(286) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-44479-2021
Date of decision : 30.05.2022**

Jagsir Singh & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Ravi Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amitoj Singh Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kulwinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing version and cross-version DDR No.61 dated 14.10.2020 registered under Sections 336, 323, 148 and 149 IPC, 1860, Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms, 1959 at Police Station P.S. Mansa, District Mansa (Annexure P-1) in case FIR No.419 dated 14.10.2020 registered under Sections 323, 336, 506, 148, 149 IPC, 1860 (Sections 447, 427, 511 IPC added later on) and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar Mansa, District Mansa along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 25.10.2021 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 02.04.2021 (P-3).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 25.10.2021 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mansa and as per the report dated 08.11.2021 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543***”.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mansa accompanied by statements of both the parties, the version and cross-version DDR No.61 dated 14.10.2020 registered under Sections 336, 323, 148 and 149 IPC, 1860, Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms, 1959 at Police Station P.S. Mansa, District Mansa (Annexure P-1) in case FIR No.419 dated 14.10.2020 registered under Sections 323, 336, 506, 148, 149 IPC, 1860 (Sections 447, 427, 511 IPC

added later on) and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar Mansa, District Mansa along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

30.05.2022
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No