

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(231-A)

CWP-20003-2020

Date of Decision : November 16, 2022

Dharmender

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Maan, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though in the present petition, the order impugned is of transfer dated 14.08.2020 (Annexure P-4) but as there was no interim order, the petitioner has already joined the place of posting and is working there but the petitioner is still feeling aggrieved against the order of transfer, hence, though the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed but liberty be given to the petitioner to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation claiming the benefit that the petitioner be transferred at the stations which are vacant even as of now.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any grievance is raised by the petitioner by disclosing the name of the stations

where the petitioner intends to go, the said claim will be considered as per law and the policy concerned and in case found feasible, appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

November 16, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No