
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

127+221

CWP-5534-2016 (O&M)

Ramesh Chander Mittal & others

... Petitioners

Versus

Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board, Panchkula through its Secretary
and another

.. Respondents

(2)

CWP-11395-2010

Anil Kumar Garg

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(3)

CWP-22407-2010

Navneet Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(4)

CWP-12858-2013

Ashok Bansal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(5)

CWP-7360-2011 (O&M)

Rajinder Pal Vohra

... Petitioner

Versus

The Chief Administrator, Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board and others

.. Respondents

(6)

CWP-5178-2016 (O&M)

Parveen Kumar & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.. Respondents

Date of decision : 18.05.2022

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Geetanjali, Advocate for
Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-5534-2016.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-11395-2010.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Talwar, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-5178-2016.

Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-7360-2011.

Mr. Jivtesh Singh Nagi, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP-22407-2010.

Dr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for the respondents
in CWP Nos.5534 of 2016, 7360-2011, 5178-2016.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in CWP-11395-2010.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CWP Nos.5534 of 2016, 11395 of 2010, 22407 of 2010, 12858 of 2013, 7360 of 2011, 5178 of 2016 as the issue

involved in these petitions is identical.

The application i.e. CM-7592-2022 in CWP-5534-2016 has been preferred by respondent No.2 for disposal of the petition(s).

It is stated in the application which is supported by an affidavit that the respondent/Board has taken a conscious decision to withdraw the order dated 15.10.2015 (Annexure P-9) as they shall be passing a fresh order after affording an opportunity of hearing to all the concerned persons. It is also stated that the gradation list would also be finalized as per law in compliance of the order dated 05.08.2013 passed in CWP No.11395 of 2010.

Issue notice in the application to the counsel for the non-applicants/petitioners.

The counsel appearing for the non-applicants/petitioners accepts notice on their behalf.

In view of the decision of the respondent/Board to withdraw the impugned order and to finalize the gradation list after affording an opportunity of hearing, the grievance of the petitioners appears to have been substantially redressed and the petition(s) may be disposed of as infructuous at this stage.

Consequently, the application is allowed and all the writ petitions are disposed of as infructuous at this stage. The respondent/Board would finalize the seniority list after affording an opportunity of hearing to all the concerned persons including the petitioners. With regard to any subsisting grievance, the petitioner(s) would be at liberty to prefer separate representations to the respondent/Board who shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law after passing a speaking order within a period of four months from its receipt.

Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

May 18, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No