

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(119)

CWP No. 19723 of 2022(O&M)

Date of Decision:06.09.2022

Pritam Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is going to attain the age of superannuation on 31.05.2023 and the petitioner has been transferred now vide order dated 28.08.2022, which is contrary to the transfer policy. Learned counsel submits that employee who are to retire within a period of one year, are to be retained at their present place of posting.

Learned counsel further submits that petitioner has already raised the said grievance on 23.08.2022, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-10, i.e. even prior to the transfer, which is still pending consideration with the respondents and petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, Learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 23.08.2022 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the representation dated 23.08.2022 (Annexure P-10), by passing a speaking order within a period of two weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

06.09.2022

Anjal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No