

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: May 17, 2022

1. CWP-5455-2016 (O&M)

Gaurav Kumar and others
....Petitioners
versus
State of Haryana and others
....Respondents

2. CWP-10553-2016 (O&M)

Sunil and another
....Petitioners
versus
State of Haryana and others
....Respondents

3. CWP-3641-2016 (O&M)

Laxmi Kumari and others
....Petitioners
versus
State of Haryana and others
....Respondents

4. CWP-20815-2016 (O&M)

Savita
....Petitioner
versus
State of Haryana and others
....Respondents

5. CWP-8604-2016 (O&M)

Ajay Kumar
....Petitioner
versus
State of Haryana and others
....Respondents

6. CWP-7918-2016 (O&M)

RajenderSingh and others
....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Vide this common order, above-mentioned 6 writ petitions are being disposed of, since the facts/issues involved therein are similar. For brevity, recitals are from CWP-5455-2016.

2. Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing letter dated 01.02.2016 (Annexure P-3), whereby only 10 posts of Class IV (including Sweeper and Dhobi etc.) have been approved. Further prayer has been made for directing the respondents to allow the petitioners to continue till the regular appointments are made because after having been appointed through proper channel, they are working since past more than 4 years without any complaint and to the utmost satisfaction of their seniors.

3. None appears on behalf of the petitioners.

4. While on the other hand, learned State counsel, under instructions from Dr. Rachna, Deputy Civil Surgeon, NHM, Faridabad, submits that these petitions are rendered infructuous. It does appear so.

5. Additional affidavit dated 24.05.2021 in CWP-5455-2016 as well as Annexure R-1 appended therewith reflects that, during pendency of writ proceedings, petitioners have been inducted in service on contract basis under

the outsourcing policy Part-II, except petitioner in CWP-8604-2016 who has resigned.

6. Learned State counsel also points out that in CWP-7918-2016, all the petitioners were offered contractual job on same terms. Only four have joined and six on their own volition while others declined.

7. In the premise, writ petitions are indeed rendered infructuous and that is why petitioners are not prosecuting their petitions.

8. Disposed of, accordingly.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

May 17, 2022

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No