

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-39378-2022
Date of Decision: 21.12.2022**

ANGAD TREHAN

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANOTHER

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Chetan Mittal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Mayank Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.
Mr. G.B.S.Dhillon, Advocate, for the complainant.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 01.09.2022 following order was passed:

“The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.163 dated 19.06.2022 under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Gobindgarh Mandi, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with the complainant on 09.12.2012, but no child has been born from the wedlock. The false and vague allegations have been levelled against the petitioner and all his family members. However, the FIR has been registered only against the petitioner and his parents. The parents of the petitioner have been granted pre-arrest bail by the Court of Sessions. The petitioner has joined the inquiry proceedings which were conducted by the police authorities on multiple occasions. The complainant is residing in her parental house since the year 2017 and the petitioner is ready and willing to amicably settle the matrimonial dispute.

On the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, complainant i.e. Tamanna Suraj, d/o Sh. Anil Suraj, R/O House No.22, Sector 10-B Guru Ki Nagri, Mandi Gobindgarh is impleaded as respondent No.2. Registry to carry out the necessary correction in the memo of parties.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice

on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. G.B.S.Dhillon, Advocate, also accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the private parties are *ad idem* that efforts may be made for amicable settlement of the dispute through mediation.

The parties are directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 28.09.2022.

The petitioner shall also pay a sum of Rs.50,000/- to the complainant/respondent No.2 on her appearance before the Mediator to facilitate her presence and participation during the course of mediation proceedings.

The report be awaited for 21.12.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

As per the report received from Mediation and Conciliation Center, amicable settlement has been effected between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation in pursuance of the interim directions issued by this Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Harminder Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect and has stated that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 01.09.2022 is made absolute.

21.12.2022

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No