

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8415-2022 (O & M)

Date of decision:08.09.2022

Manjeet Rani and anr.

..... Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hakam Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ranbir Singh Sekhon, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 to 6.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-1207-2022

The application for placing on record the Matriculation certificate of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-6) is allowed as prayed for. The aforesaid documents are taken on record.

CRWP-8415-2022

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this is the first marriage between the petitioners.

Petitioner No.2 is major as his date of birth is 21.08.2000 and petitioner No.1 is also major looking at either of the documents i.e. a copy of the Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1) or Matriculation certificate (Annexure P-6), her date of birth is 06.04.2004.

The petitioners have prayed for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for protecting their civil/personal rights and

liberties from being invaded by the private respondents. Petitioners are alleged to have solemnized marriage on 27.08.2022 on attaining the age of majority. They feel reasonable apprehension and threat perception at the hands of the private respondents and pray that their personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

Precisely, in the context of aforesaid relief, petitioners have approached the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, Punjab, respondent No.2 by way of representation dated 27.08.2022, Annexure P-5.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.

At this stage, without expressing any opinion as to the validity of the marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition.

This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

September 08, 2022
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No