

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.210

CWP No.6150 of 2015

Date of Decision: 27.05.2022

Gurmail Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.S.Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Roy, Advocate for
Mr. I.P.S.Doabia, Advocate for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

After arguing, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the petitioner intends to approach the respondent department for the redressal of the grievance as raised in the present petition and therefore, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent department by filing an appropriate representation.

Learned counsel for the respondents submit that in case, a representation is filed by the petitioner, the same will be decided in accordance with law by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of the same.

Keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the the respondents, the present petition is disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

27.05.2022

Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No