

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39708-2022

Date of Decision: 17th November, 2022

Mandeep @ Nanha

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Anoop Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition is filed seeking regular bail in case of FIR No. 890, dated 30th December, 2021, under Sections 308, 323, 452 and 506 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (379-B and 325 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat. The earlier petition was withdrawn on 30th May, 2022 with liberty to revive the prayer at a later stage.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all other co-accused have been granted bail by this Court and claims parity. It is further argued that eye witnesses has not supported the case of the prosecution qua allegation against the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail but he is not in a position to refute the contention raised by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Without commenting on the merits of the case, on the basis of parity of petitioner vis-a-vis co-accused (Kuldeep Singh, Raman and Rahul Singh Dahiya @ Vicky) so far as grant of bail is concerned and though the

petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

5. The petition is allowed.
6. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

17th November, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No