

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39625-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.10.2022

Vivek Jaidka

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. G. S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishnav Gandhi, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 251 dated 24.07.2018, under Sections 3 and 4 of the SC/ST Act and Section 30 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

The operative part of the order dated 02.09.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the new ground for filing the present petition is that the matter stands compromised between the parties and the petitioner along with other co-accused has filed a petition bearing CRM-M35050-2021 seeking quashing of the FIR on the basis of the compromise, in which while issuing notice of motion, the parties have been directed to record their statement before the trial Court. It is further submitted that the statement of complainant Navpreet Kaur has already been recorded before the trial Court in support of the compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits

that even other coaccused have also been granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion for 13.10.2022.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 02.09.2022, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 02.09.2022, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

29.10.2022

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No