

Hardev Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

Poonamdeep Kaur IAS

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 29.10.2021, in CWP-21956-2021.
2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1, reveals that CWP-21956-2021, was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider legal notice dated 16.06.2021 as representation moved by the petitioner and to decide the same, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order, preferably within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and if the petitioner was found entitled and there was no impediment for the release of the benefits, to release the same to the petitioner, without any further delay.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent has placed on record copy of order dated 09.02.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioners as contained in legal notice dated 16.06.2021. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal thereof states

that the petitioners do not press the instant petition but pray for grant of liberty to challenge order dated 09.02.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

11.10.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No