

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(233)

CWP-21113-2021

Date of Decision : November 22, 2022

Anuradha Sethi and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jawahar Lal Goyal, Advoate and
Mr. Parth Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that they be paid the Leave Travel Concession for the block year 2016-2019, which has already been sanctioned.

In the paragraph 2 of the reply filed, it has been mentioned that all the petitioners have already been extended the benefit of Leave Travel Concession for the block year 2016-2019.

There is no rebuttal to the said averment.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition has already been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

November 22, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No