

COCp-1863-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 02.09.2022

Devi Singh and another

...Petitioners

versus

Dr. G. Anupama and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Rajinder Partap Singh Jammu, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel contends that despite order Annexure P-1 dated 16.05.2022 in CWP-10393-2022 directing the respondents to consider and decide legal notice dated 01.09.2021 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, no action has been taken on the same till date, therefore, respondent No.2 is liable to be proceeded against under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

2. Issue notice to respondent No.2 to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him.

3. Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and states that in case some time is granted, needful would be done and orders would be passed in compliance of order Annexure P-1 dated 16.05.2022 in CWP-10393-2022.

4. Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to

consider and decide the claim made in legal notice dated 01.09.2021 and pass appropriate orders in respect thereto in accordance with law on or before 30.09.2022. In case claim of the petitioner is not decided in terms of order Annexure P-1 within the aforementioned period of time, costs of Rs.25,000/- would be payable by respondent No.2 to the petitioners proportionately.

02.09.2022
ps

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No