

149 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-1864-2022

Date of Decision : 02.09.2022

Barnek Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

Jaspreet Talwar and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Pankaj Bains, Advocate for Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal,
Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 03.05.2021 in CWP-9244-2021.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 03.05.2021 reveals that CWP-9244-2021 was disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners as contained in legal notice dated 19.10.2021 in accordance with law within three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order by passing a speaking order.

3. Although notice has yet not been issued, Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. AG, Punjab has produced copy of order No.DPISE-EST20CC/55/2021-ESTABLISHMENT-2-DPISE dated SAS Nagar 13.8.2022. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners on perusal of order No.DPISE-EST20CC/55/2021-ESTABLISHMENT-2-DPISE dated SAS Nagar 13.8.2022 states that he has instructions from the petitioners that in view of their claim having been rejected vide the aforementioned order, they do not press the instant petition but seek liberty to challenge order dated 13.08.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.09.2022

ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>