

Sr. No. 207 (21st case)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-26157-2017

Date of decision: 21.11.2022

Rakhi Devi and another	Vs.	...Petitioners
State of Haryana and another		...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate,
For the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to provide the job to petitioner No.2 who is orphan and 70% disabled, as per the policy/Instructions issued by the State of Haryana. Further prayer has been made to direct the respondents to give the financial assistance as per the Ex-gratia Rule, 2006 along with interest.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners be rather granted liberty to move an appropriate fresh representation *qua* his grievance, before the competent authority which may be decided as per law.

3. Learned State counsel submits that a decision will be taken by competent authority, eitherway, on the representation, in case the same is filed by the petitionerby passing a speaking order.

4. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority/respondents to look into the representation of the petitioner,

in case the same is submitted within two weeks from today, and pass an administrative order, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

5. In case, favourable order is passed, the same shall be implemented within a period of two months thereafter.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

November 21, 2022

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No