

Bharat Bhushan Kakkar

...Petitioner

versus

Veena Singh and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 31.05.2022 in CWP-12330-2022.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 31.05.2022 reveals that CWP-12330-2022 was disposed of by directing respondent No.1 herein to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 26.03.2022 by passing an appropriate speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. Reply has been filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 alongwith Annexure R-1 dated 16.09.2022 as per which all pensionary and other benefits have been released to the petitioner while giving reference of the fact that a sum of Rs.21,170/- had to be recovered from the petitioner in due course of time as per law on account of loss caused

by the petitioner. The reply is taken on record.

Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to challenge order dated 16.09.2022 to the extent the same is adverse to the interest of the petitioner.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

19.09.2022

ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>