

**(267) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-33716-2019
Date of decision :.31.05.2022**

Dharamraj & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Ajay Ghanghas, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. C.S. Singhal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.67 dated 16.02.2018 registered under Sections 323, 452, 506 and 34 IPC at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak, District Rohtak along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 20.02.2020 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise (P-3).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 20.02.2020 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate,

1st Class, Rohtak and as per the report dated 31.07.2020 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543***”.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Rohtak accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.67 dated 16.02.2018 registered under Sections 323, 452, 506 and 34 IPC at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak, District Rohtak along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

31.05.2022
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No