

210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39308-2022  
Date of Decision: 14.11.2022

YOGESH AND ANOTHER ... PETITIONERS  
V/S  
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. S.S.Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG Haryana.  
Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, Advocate for the complainant.

\* \* \* \*

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 01.09.2022 following order was passed:

“The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.380 dated 21.07.2022 under Sections 328, 354-D, 506 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Adarsh Nagar, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that the allegations as put forth in the FIR are to the effect that the complainant is aged about 21 years and petitioner no.1 had been asking her to solemnize marriage. However, she had refused to marry him. Petitioner no.1 used to follow her. In the month of January, 2022, petitioner no.2 made her to sniff some intoxicant and she was abducted. Her photographs were also clicked and was threatened to kill. Thereafter, she was dropped by pushing out from the car. Her marriage was to be solemnized on 04.04.2022. On 20.07.2022, petitioner no.1 disclosed with regard to the relationship and photographs. It has been further contended that the parties are relatives and subsequently, the matter has been amicably settled in terms of compromise contained at Annexure P-2. Even the complainant has submitted an affidavit (Annexure P-3) which is indicative of the falsehood of the case of the prosecution. The petitioners had instituted a

petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise but the same has been withdrawn with liberty to approach the Investigating Agency for cancellation of the FIR.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to get instructions in the matter.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, Advocate, has appeared on behalf of the complainant, placed on record *vakalatnama* and acknowledged the fact of compromise.

Adjourned to 14.11.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that the petitioners have joined the investigation in pursuance of the interim directions issued by this Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Taleem, has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect and has stated that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 01.09.2022 is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

**14.11.2022**

Janki

**(VIVEK PURI)**  
**JUDGE**