

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 19868 of 2022
Date of decision: 13.09.2022**

Rajinder Singh Petitioner.

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vinay Puri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 28.07.2022 (Annexure P6) whereby his claim for seniority over one Manish Khanna has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had joined the service prior to Manish Khanna and had also been promoted as Sub-Station Attendant on 11.02.2011 and, therefore, he should have been ranked senior to Manish Khanna.

Heard.

A perusal of the impugned order dated 28.07.2022 (Annexure P6), whereby representation of the petitioner has been rejected, reveals that the petitioner had joined as Oil Cleaner on 28.08.2000 and he had been promoted as Sub-Station Attendant on 09.02.2011. Manish Khanna is stated to have joined service in the year 1993 on compassionate ground on the death of his father. His seniority had been fixed from 05.03.1994. He had been promoted from the post of Oil Cleaner to the post of Sub-Station Attendant

on 27.02.2007, which is prior to the date of promotion of the petitioner as Sub-Station Attendant. The petitioner had also joined service in the year 2000 while Manish Khanna had joined in the year 1993. The petitioner cannot be treated as senior to Manish Khanna, who has also not been impleaded as respondent.

Consequently, I do not find any merit in this petition which stands dismissed.

13.09.2022
Ramesh

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No