

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

279

CRM-M-39438-2022 (O&M).

Date of Decision: 29.11.2022.

Ravi Kumar @ Bau

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant **Second** petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, seeking regular bail in FIR No.179 dated 30.05.2020 (Annexure P-1), under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station City, Kapurthala.

Custody certificate has been filed today in the Court which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is a habitual offender and has earlier also been convicted on two occasions. It has also been pointed out that the petitioner was granted indulgence of interim bail and that he has misused the same. Resultantly, even the interim bail was cancelled.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition, at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed.

It has been informed by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the final report under Section 173 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 already stands filed and that the charge has already been framed on 20.9.2022 and the case is fixed for recording of prosecution evidence on 30.11.2022 and as many as 11 witnesses have been cited by the prosecution.

The prosecution shall take expeditious steps for conclusion of its evidence and the same may be concluded in a time bound manner and preferably within a period of six months from the date next fixed before the learned trial Court.

November 29, 2022.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No