

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39100-2022

Date of decision : 10.11.2022

Monika Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Sunny Tyagi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.524 dated 23.07.2022 registered under Sections 332, 353, 186, 506 IPC at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat.

On 31.08.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Inter alia contends that the petitioner is a young girl of 30 years of age and in the present case no injury has been caused to any person and the petitioner is not involved in any other case and the FIR has been registered on the allegation that the petitioner has tried to cross the road by violating the signal.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr.Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 10.11.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner is ordered to be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting /

Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

August 31, 2022.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Parminder Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 31.08.2022, and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 31.08.2022 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 10, 2022
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No