

125

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN-2297-2021

Date of Decision :11.01.2022

Parveen

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajeev Arora and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Rajesh K. Moudgil, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the instant petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against respondent No.2 for intentional and willful disobedience of order, Annexure P-2 dated 04.11.2020 in CWP No.18510 of 2020.

[3] Learned counsel contends that vide order, Annexure P/2 dated 04.11.2020, order of termination, Annexure P/1 dated 14.10.2020 was stayed but the respondents failed to make payment of salary to the petitioner from 01.05.2021 onwards, therefore, the instant petition was filed. Learned counsel, however, states that during the pendency of the petition, the respondents have made payment of sum of Rs.1,02,839/- towards payment uptill date but the aforesaid payment is not as per entitlement and is short by Rs.856/-. Learned counsel states that in the circumstances, he does not wish to pursue the instant contempt petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to file a representation before the respondents for payment of balance amount of

Rs.856/- as per his entitlement.

[4] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as payment of Rs.1,02,839/- pursuant to order, Annexure P/2 dated 04.11.2020 staying the operation of termination order, Annexure P/1 dated 14.10.2020, no further action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondent.

[6] Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as such with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

11.01.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No