

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

CWP-25981-2017

Date of Decision: 06.12.2022

J. GANESHAN

... Petitioner

VERSUS

**STATE CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION,
PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Dharam Vir Sharma, Advocate Sr. Counsel with
Ms. Pooja Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Abhinav Sood, Mr. Anmol Gupta and
Ms. Vandana Malhotra, Advocates
for respondents No.4 and 5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing of the order dated 25.10.2017 for issuance of non-bailable warrant against the petitioner and all other consequential actions/proceedings arising therefrom.

Learned Sr. Counsel, on instructions from Ms. Pooja Yadav, Advocate contends that the present writ has become infructuous since the final order has already been passed and the proceedings before the Commission have already been stayed by the National Commission even before passing of the impugned order.

The aforesaid prayer/submission of the learned Senior Counsel is not disputed by the counsel for the respondents.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

06.12.2022

rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No