

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

243

CWP-24215-2018

Date of Decision :27.09.2022

Vijender

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that claim of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for regularization of his service w.e.f. 01.04.2011 i.e. the date when the services of his juniors were regularised by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of serving a legal notice dated 16.05.2018 (Annexure P-5), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice.

Learned State counsel raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 16.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in his legal notice, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 16.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) by passing a speaking order within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed off.

September 27, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No