

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

295

CRWP-8327-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-15.09.2022

RAVEENA AND ANR

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Joshi, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Vipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 to 5.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Status report by way of affidavit of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Central, Jalandhar, along with Annexures R-1 to R-6, filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, in Court today, is taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that as per the said reply, the petitioners are now not facing any threat from the side of private respondents and the separate statements of the parties were recorded by the police, which are Annexures R-1 to R-6.

Today, both the petitioners are present in Court and on query being put to them, they have submitted that even now they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty from the side of the private respondents including respondents No.4 and 5.

Petitioner No.1 has also refused to talk to respondents No.4 and 5, who are also available in the Court.

In view of above, without going into merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation (Annexure P-5) and in case, any threat perception is there, then to provide them appropriate protection, if so warranted. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

In case the petitioners have committed any offence, the law will take its own course.

15.09.2022
monika

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No