

**236 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8362-2022

Date of Decision: 13th September, 2022

Kanti Kumari and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. U.K. Kanwar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This writ petition is filed seeking directions to official respondents to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition).

2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

3. On 1st September, 2022 following order was passed:-

“Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab, appearing on advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 13.9.2022.

In the meantime, the police officials not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

4. Learned State counsel has produced the statements of the petitioners, wherein it is stated that there is no threat perception.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statements given by the petitioners after filing of this petition, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.
6. The petition is dismissed.
7. Since the main petition is dismissed, pending applications, if any, are rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

13th September, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No