

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-24190-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: September 14, 2022

Gaurav Madotra

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing notice dated 13.09.2018 (Annexure P-11) whereby, respondent No.2 has called candidates for interview for the post of Excise Inspector, without considering objections filed by the petitioner and other candidates regarding answer keys.

2. Pledged case is that petitioner applied for the post of Excise Inspector, Category No.01 under General category as per advertisement dated 01.12.2015 (Annexure P-1). Petitioner appeared in the written examination. Thereafter, objections were invited and result of the written examination was declared. Petitioner was called for scrutiny of documents. Vide notice dated 13.09.2018 (Annexure P-11), respondent No.2 issued notice calling candidate equal to twice the number of vacancies for interview on 25.09.2018. Petitioner was not called for the interview.

3. I have heard rival contentions of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

4. Vide order dated 21.09.2018 passed by a coordinate Bench of this Court, petitioner was provisionally allowed to be interviewed and his result was ordered to be kept in a sealed cover. Result has now been declared and the same reveals that petitioner secured aggregate of 165 marks. On a Court query, learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that petitioner secured lesser marks than the last selected candidate, who scored 179 marks in the category the petitioner applied.

5. In the premise, it seems that being unaware of his actual result, the petitioner was misled into filing the instant petition premised on an understanding that he has secured more marks than the last selected candidate.

6. The result of the petitioner is not under challenge before this Court. Being so, no further grounds to interfere are made out.

7. Dismissed.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

September 14, 2022

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No