

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40532-2022

Date of Decision: 09.11.2022

Paramjit Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: - Mr. R.S. Sidhu , Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Hakam Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. N.K. Awasthi, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 4.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for quashing FIR No. 157 dated 08.05.2022 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 324, 452, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Goindwal Sahib, District Tarn Taran and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 29.05.2022 (Annexure P-3) effected between the parties.

Pursuant to the order dated 06.09.2022, passed by this Court, the parties appeared before the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Khadur Sahib, to get their statements recorded. Learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Khadur Sahib, submitted her report along with statements of the parties vide letter No. 854 dated 07.10.2022 duly forwarded by learned District and Sessions Judge, Tarn

Taran, vide Endst. No. 6054-R dated 07.10.2022.

I have heard learned Counsel for the petitioners, learned State Counsel, learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 4 and gone through the relevant record.

It is now well settled that the High Court has inherent power to quash the criminal proceedings in non-compoundable cases on the basis of settlement between the parties for securing the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process where the possibility of conviction is remote and bleak and continuation of the criminal case would put the accused to great oppression and prejudice and extreme injustice would be caused to him by not quashing the criminal case. Criminal cases having overwhelmingly and predominantly civil character particularly those arising out of commercial transaction or arising out of matrimonial relationship or family dispute can be quashed when the parties have resolved their entire dispute among themselves. However, such power cannot be exercised in those prosecutions which involve heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like murder, rape dacoity, etc. which are not private in nature and have a serious impact on society. Similarly, prosecution for offences alleged to have been committed under special enactments like the Prevention of Corruption Act or the offences committed by public servant while working in that capacity cannot be quashed on the basis of compromise between the victim and the offender. For judicial precedents in this regard, reference may be made to ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and anr., 2012 (4) RAJ 549: Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab (Supreme Court) : 2014 (2)***

RCR (Criminal) 482, State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan and others (Supreme Court) : 2019 (2) RCR (Criminal) 255 and Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others (Punjab and Haryana High Court) : 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052.

According to the report, learned the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Khadur Sahib, is satisfied that the compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntarily, without any coercion or undue influence with their free will.

Considering the report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Khadur Sahib dated 07.10.2022 and the fact that the compromise will bring peace and harmony between the parties, aforesaid FIR No. 157 dated 08.05.2022 (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed, qua the petitioners only.

Disposed of, accordingly.

November 09, 2022
rishu

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/No**