

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

229	CWP-24132-2018 Date of decision: 06.12.2022	
BIRMATI	VERSUS	...Petitioners
STATE OF HARYANA & ORS		...Respondents
226	CWP-28793-2017 (O&M)	
PINKI	VERSUS	...Petitioner
STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS		...Respondents
227	CWP-16046-2018	
BRIJ LAL AND OTHERS	VERSUS	...Petitioners
STATE OF HARYANA & ORS		...Respondents
228	CWP-21853-2018	
SOHAN SINGH AND OTHERS	VERSUS	...Petitioners
STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS		...Respondents
230	CWP-24817-2018	
VIRENDER SINGH	VERSUS	...Petitioner
STATE OF HARYANA & ORS		...Respondents

231	CWP-26004-2018
KARAMVIR SINGH	...Petitioner
VERSUS	
STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS	...Respondents
232	CWP-28685-2018
SURJI DEVI & ANR	...Petitioners
VERSUS	
STATE OF HARYANA & ORS	...Respondents
234	CWP-32396-2018
CHANDRAWALI	...Petitioners
VERSUS	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...Respondents
240	CWP-12555-2019
OM PAL AND ANR	...Petitioners
VERSUS	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K.Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana and
Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Additional A.G., Haryana
in CWP-12555-2019.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J.(ORAL)

CM-17596-CWP-2018

Application is for the preponement of the main case.

Application for the preponement has been rendered infructuous as the main case has been listed today.

Main case

Learned State counsel have filed written statement in CWP No.24132-2018 and CWP No.12555-2019 on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, same is taken on record.

By this common order, the writ petition, the details of which have been given in the headings are being disposed of as all the petitioners raised the same question of law on similar facts.

The prayer of the petitioner in the present petition is that they are working with respondent-State for the last more than 2 decades but their services have not been regularized by the respondent though they are found entitled for regularization of their services keeping in view the various regularization policies issued by the State of Haryana. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in the year 2004 and thereafter, in 2011, regularization policies were issued by the Government of Haryana so as to regularize the services of even the part time employees, benefit of which policy has not been extended to the petitioner and that too without any valid justification.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State submit that in the present petition, the petitioners claim is that one of their junior, namely, Makhan Lal, his services were regularized by the department w.e.f. 01.04.2011 hence, the petitioners be also extended the said benefit whereas, the benefit extended to Makhan Lal has been reconsidered by the authorities concerned and an

order has been passed on 14.11.2022 withdrawing the benefit of regularization of service extended in favour of Makhan Lal, no benefits of the same can be extended to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the benefits of regularization from 01.04.2011 has been withdrawn from Makhan Lal but he has been given the benefit of regularization from 29.08.2014 which benefit has also not been extended to the petitioner though, the petitioners are concededly senior to Makhan Lal.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that as after reconsideration, Makhan Lal has been given benefit of regularization from 29.08.2014, the claim of the petitioners will be considered by the department keeping in view the order dated 14.11.2022 and in case, it is found that the petitioners are senior from Makhan Lal, appropriate order on the claim of the petitioners seeking regularization will be passed within a period of 3 months from the receipt of the copy of this order and in case, there is no impediment the said benefit will be extended to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

December 06, 2022

nisha-II

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No