

**239 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25825-2017

Date of decision : May 23, 2022

Virender Kumar Poonia

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. R.K. Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the prayer of the petitioner is two folds. One, the challenge is to the action of the respondent in not treating the suspension period of the petitioner as a duty period and second is with respect to not releasing the pensionary benefits after his retirement.

After notice of motion, the respondents have filed the reply, wherein it has been mentioned that the petitioner was held guilty in the disciplinary proceedings after which, an appropriate order on the suspension period has been passed and as the petitioner was held guilty, the suspension period has not been treated as a duty period.

With regard to the release of the pensionary benefits, the respondents have stated that the petitioner had taken the house building advance and as calculated on 31.10.2019, a sum of Rs.10,49,624/- is due whereas, the total amount for which the petitioner is entitled as pensionary benefits is less than the said amount.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the respondents have included the penal interest in the house building advance loan, the petitioner does not intend to press this petition any further with liberty to challenge the imposition of interest on the house building loan so as to adjust the retiral benefits.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

May 23, 2022

sarita	
Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No