

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

105

CRM-M-39333-2022

Date of Decision: 01.09.2022

Surinder Singh

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Surinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harnaresh Singh Gill, J. (Oral)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.40 dated 10.03.2006, registered at Police Station Goraya, District Jalandhar, under Sections 307, 120-B, 34 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that the FIR in question was registered way back in the month of March, 2006 and the petitioner was declared proclaimed offender on 31.07.2006. False allegations have been levelled against the petitioner, rather it is the complainant who is in the habit of registering false case against the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and also gone through the documents placed on record.

As per the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Pradeep Sharma, 2014(1) RCR 269**, to the effect that where a person has been declared proclaimed offender, he/she should not be granted anticipatory bail. Since the petitioner has been declared proclaimed offender, no petition for anticipatory bail can be entertained.

Dismissed.

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

01.09.2022

komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No