

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

259

CWP-23974-2018

Date of decision: 15.09.2022

SAVITA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Varun Rai Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G. Haryana.
for respondents No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950 seeking compensation on account of untimely death of Pardeep due to electrocution on 24.06.2017.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contends that the deceased Pardeep died due to electrocution at village Bhaini Surjan, Rohtak while in fields. He contends that Pardeep was an agriculturist and had gone to the fields for some work and he was tangled with live electric wires which had fallen in the agricultural fields. He submits that the death is an outcome of electric shock. An FIR No. 330 dated 24.06.2017 under Sections 304-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 has been registered at Police Station Meham, District Rohtak (Haryana).

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 contends that the incident is dated 24.06.2017 and that the policy

dated 18.05.2017 notified by the UHBVNL relating to compensation to the victims for fatal/non-fatal accidents was then in force and that in the event of the petitioners submitting their claim before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be considered and an expeditious decision in terms of the above policy shall be taken thereupon.

The aforesaid contention/offer is accepted by the counsel for the petitioners without prejudice to his rights.

The present petition is thus disposed of with the consent of the respective parties and without prejudice to their respective rights and without commenting on the merits of their respective claims granting liberty to the petitioners to approach the respondent authorities for seeking disbursement of compensation in terms of applicable policy.

Needless to mention that in the event of filing of such claim/representation by the petitioners before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be decided expeditiously by the competent authority preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of filing of the such claim/representation, after granting an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 15, 2022

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No